

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 HUGO APARICIO,

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.
14

15 E.K. MCDANIEL, *et al.*,

16 Respondents.
17

3:07-cv-00427-LRH-VPC

ORDER

18 Petitioner's motion (#22) to correct record is DENIED. The entry to which petitioner refers in
19 his motion signifies only that an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal was not acted on
20 or granted in the district court. The entry has nothing to do with whether petitioner was granted pauper
21 status in the district court proceedings, which is a matter of record.

22 DATED this 23rd day of January, 2008.
23
24
25



26 LARRY R. HICKS
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28